



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/053,968	01/22/2002	Dennis P. Long	94004-88216	5193	
75	7590 11/04/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Ari M. Bai			CAMPBELL, THOR S		
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C. Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10 South Broadway St. Louis, MO 63102			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003		

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/053,968	LONG ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thor S. Campbell	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a th places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market status of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the market status of the shortened stat	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension;	sion Ision ; or		
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);			
(b) $\boxtimes$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note					
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the	те		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See attached.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendmer	nt 		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the	<b>;</b>		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/053,968

Art Unit: 3742

## Response to Applicant's Remarks

It should be noted that applicant appears to have misunderstood this Examiner's comments made during a telephone interview of September 11, 2003. Although the Examiner did agree with applicant that the originally filed specification lends support for the claim that the temperature sensor is only in contact with the fluid, since it is stated on page 13, lines 3-4 to be "not in contact with the inside tube", Examiner did not agree that amending the claims to state that the "inside tube being heated along its continuous length" would **not** introduce new matter nor that such limitations, if included would place the claims in condition for allowance. Rather, the Examiner only agreed that the inclusion of "continuous" would obviate the rejections as stated since the Lehrke reference does not teach continuous heating of the tube, but indicated that such claim limitations must be supported by the specification and would require further search and consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 703-306-9042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look can be reached on 703-308-1044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

> **EDWARD K. LOOK** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700 (c(3/03